

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Woodbury Road & Falkland Place
Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 01

I object to the proposals.

Comments

It is already difficult for my partner and son to find parking close to my home at busy times as I only have space for one car on my drive. Restricting on road parking further will just exacerbate the situation for myself and other residents.

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction or where it would cause an obstruction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Woodbury Road & Falkland Place
Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 02

I object to the proposals.

Comments

Parking in this area is already difficult. The addition of these yellow lines would move the problem, not fix it. I have lived in this road for 26 years and all of a sudden there is an issue??? It would also create friction between neighbours, in what at the moment is a harmonious area. I'm sure the money to carry out this pointless proposal could be better spent on more worthy projects in this time of austerity.

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction or where it would cause an obstruction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Woodbury Road & Falkland Place
Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 03

I object to the proposals.

Comments

I object under the reasons that all you are doing is moving the problem up the road that will impact other residents.

Please extend the yellow lines to the top of Woodbury covering kerbside parking outside no 5, numerous accidents, damage and issues have occurred due to large vehicles parking on very small kerb spaces. If the yellow lines were extended as above I would support.

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction or where it would cause an obstruction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Woodbury Road & Falkland Place
Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 04

I support the proposals.

Comments

Due to vehicles parking either side of the drive it is difficult to safely see when joining Woodbury Road and we have had some near misses

Response

Thank you for your comments.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Woodbury Road & Falkland Place
Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 05

I support the proposals.

Comments

Both exiting and entering the junction can be particularly tricky as approaching vehicles are not visible. Also access for emergency vehicles (which thankfully is not a regular occurrence), could potentially be severely restricted.

Response

Thank you for your comments.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 06

I support the proposals.

Comments

1. View is obstructed when vehicles parked on and around the junction, very difficult and dangerous to see oncoming vehicles (also contrary to The Highway Code Rule 243).

2. If vehicle exiting Falkland Place, any vehicle on Woodbury Road trying to drive into Falkland has nowhere safely to wait.

3. Refuse collectors and delivery lorries can often not enter Falkland Place due to parked vehicles. Hence, rubbish has not been collected, or deliveries not able to be delivered.

Response

Thank you for your comments.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 07

I object to the proposals.

Comments

Instead, use single yellow line to restrict parking on a Monday (i.e. bin day).

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction or where it would cause an obstruction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 08

I object to the proposals.

Comments

I am writing to object to the Borough Council's proposals for changes to the on-street parking arrangements for Woodbury Road and Falkland Place, Walderslade, shown on plan DD/598/30.

The current setup does not cause any disruption to us residents on the junctions. Putting in the previously enforced double yellow lines has created quite a lot of problems for parking for residents around the area. Having additional double yellow lines will magnify the issue. Therefore I am not in support of the proposed changes.

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction or where it would cause an obstruction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 09

I support the proposals.

Comments

These double yellow lines are essential to ensure access to Falkland Place. We have had a number of instances where the refuse vehicles have been unable to turn into this road due to illegally parked cars and if these were to impede emergency vehicles such as fire services a major incident could occur. Access for 15 to 17 Woodbury Road is also essential for any emergency vehicles.

Response

Thank you for your comments.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 10

I support the proposals.

Comments

I live at (REDACTED) and fully support the proposals for changes to on street parking at the above

Response

Thank you for your comments.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 11

I support the proposals.

Comments

Whilst I agree with most of the proposed changes with the double yellow lines I do also have concerns. The concerns being that there are multiple households that have a drive for one vehicle without the option to extend. This results in numerous vehicles street parked which in the most is fine. I agree with the double yellows outside my house and stretching up the road (no one parks that side anyway). However, I would suggest that we reduce the proposed double yellows that extend into Falkland Place as much as possible so only the corner is covered. I would also not put double yellow lines on the right hand side as you come down Woodbury. Lots of vehicles use this this area to park and alternative options are not available. Agree with the rest. The main issue is number 10 Woodbury that has 7 vehicles and does not use their drive appropriately. Hopefully the double yellows will change that.

Response

Thank you for your comments.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 12

I object to the proposals.

Comments

I objected last time and still object. The new plan is even worse with more yellow lines. I suggest for the lines opposite my house to start by front of house No.10 so I can hopefully park and so can my visitors, Have started cycling into work (considered getting rid of my car) and suggest trees on the Walderslade Woods and glass & left over bits car which burned here are removed/cut back. I am disheartened by the whole ordeal.

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction or where it would cause an obstruction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented.

Formal Consultation response – Walderslade, Tunbury Avenue

Response ref: DD-598-30A Walderslade - Woodbury Road and Falkland Place - 13

I object to the proposals.

Comments

I agree that parking on the corners of the suggested roads is a problem but by restricting the cars parking I do not know where these cars will park should the restrictions be enforced.

Response

The Council's proposal echoes the requirements of Section 243 of the Highway Code which requires drivers not to stop or park close to a junction or where it would cause an obstruction. Drivers should already be abiding by this requirement. Where parking is still a problem due to drivers ignoring the Highway Code we have to consider measures that allow for parking enforcement.

It remains that there is no right to park on the public highway - parking is tolerated where it does not cause a problem, but residents cannot automatically assume on-street parking will be available, and some properties are situated in places where parking is not permitted.

This has become more of an issue as car ownership increases and with denser housing development, but the purpose of the public highway is to provide a safe facility for travel, and road space cannot be increased.

Whilst there may be some element of parking displacement to areas where parking is not wanted, the aim is to discourage parking in the areas where parking should already be prevented.